

1 Hon. Richard A. Jones  
2 Hon. J. Richard Creatura  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11  
12 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
13  
14 AT SEATTLE

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

EL PAPEL, LLC, and BERMAN 2, LLC,	)	
	)	Civil Action No. 2:20-cv-01323-RAJ-JRC
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
v.	)	<b>PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF ON CROSS MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, RE: PROCLAMATION 21-09 AND CEDAR POINT NURSERY V. HASSID</b>
ROBERT W. FERGUSON, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of Washington; JENNY A. DURKAN, in her official capacity as the Mayor of the City of Seattle; and THE CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal Corporation,	)	
	)	<b>Noted on Motion Calendar: August 16, 2021</b>
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
5510  
5511  
5512  
5513  
5514  
5515  
5516  
5517  
5518  
5519  
5520  
5521  
5522  
5523  
5524  
5525  
5526  
5527  
5528  
5529  
5530  
5531  
5532  
5533  
5534  
5535  
5536  
5537  
5538  
5539  
55310  
55311  
55312  
55313  
55314  
55315  
55316  
55317  
55318  
55319  
55320  
55321  
55322  
55323  
55324  
55325  
55326  
55327  
55328  
55329  
55330  
55331  
55332  
55333  
55334  
55335  
55336  
55337  
55338  
55339  
55340  
55341  
55342  
55343  
55344  
55345  
55346  
55347  
55348  
55349  
55350  
55351  
55352  
55353  
55354  
55355  
55356  
55357  
55358  
55359  
55360  
55361  
55362  
55363  
55364  
55365  
55366  
55367  
55368  
55369  
55370  
55371  
55372  
55373  
55374  
55375  
55376  
55377  
55378  
55379  
55380  
55381  
55382  
55383  
55384  
55385  
55386  
55387  
55388  
55389  
55390  
55391  
55392  
55393  
55394  
55395  
55396  
55397  
55398  
55399  
553100  
553101  
553102  
553103  
553104  
553105  
553106  
553107  
553108  
553109  
553110  
553111  
553112  
553113  
553114  
553115  
553116  
553117  
553118  
553119  
553120  
553121  
553122  
553123  
553124  
553125  
553126  
553127  
553128  
553129  
553130  
553131  
553132  
553133  
553134  
553135  
553136  
553137  
553138  
553139  
553140  
553141  
553142  
553143  
553144  
553145  
553146  
553147  
553148  
553149  
553150  
553151  
553152  
553153  
553154  
553155  
553156  
553157  
553158  
553159  
553160  
553161  
553162  
553163  
553164  
553165  
553166  
553167  
553168  
553169  
553170  
553171  
553172  
553173  
553174  
553175  
553176  
553177  
553178  
553179  
553180  
553181  
553182  
553183  
553184  
553185  
553186  
553187  
553188  
553189  
553190  
553191  
553192  
553193  
553194  
553195  
553196  
553197  
553198  
553199  
553200  
553201  
553202  
553203  
553204  
553205  
553206  
553207  
553208  
553209  
553210  
553211  
553212  
553213  
553214  
553215  
553216  
553217  
553218  
553219  
553220  
553221  
553222  
553223  
553224  
553225  
553226  
553227  
553228  
553229  
553230  
553231  
553232  
553233  
553234  
553235  
553236  
553237  
553238  
553239  
553240  
553241  
553242  
553243  
553244  
553245  
553246  
553247  
553248  
553249  
553250  
553251  
553252  
553253  
553254  
553255  
553256  
553257  
553258  
553259  
553260  
553261  
553262  
553263  
553264  
553265  
553266  
553267  
553268  
553269  
553270  
553271  
553272  
553273  
553274  
553275  
553276  
553277  
553278  
553279  
553280  
553281  
553282  
553283  
553284  
553285  
553286  
553287  
553288  
553289  
553290  
553291  
553292  
553293  
553294  
553295  
553296  
553297  
553298  
553299  
553300  
553301  
553302  
553303  
553304  
553305  
553306  
553307  
553308  
553309  
553310  
553311  
553312  
553313  
553314  
553315  
553316  
553317  
553318  
553319  
553320  
553321  
553322  
553323  
553324  
553325  
553326  
553327  
553328  
553329  
553330  
553331  
553332  
553333  
553334  
553335  
553336  
553337  
553338  
553339  
553340  
553341  
553342  
553343  
553344  
553345  
553346  
553347  
553348  
553349  
553350  
553351  
553352  
553353  
553354  
553355  
553356  
553357  
553358  
553359  
553360  
553361  
553362  
553363  
553364  
553365  
553366  
553367  
553368  
553369  
553370  
553371  
553372  
553373  
553374  
553375  
553376  
553377  
553378  
553379  
553380  
553381  
553382  
553383  
553384  
553385  
553386  
553387  
553388  
553389  
553390  
553391  
553392  
553393  
553394  
553395  
553396  
553397  
553398  
553399  
553400  
553401  
553402  
553403  
553404  
553405  
553406  
553407  
553408  
553409  
553410  
553411  
553412  
553413  
553414  
553415  
553416  
553417  
553418  
553419  
553420  
553421  
553422  
553423  
553424  
553425  
553426  
553427  
553428  
553429  
553430  
553431  
553432  
553433  
553434  
553435  
553436  
553437  
553438  
553439  
553440  
553441  
553442  
553443  
553444  
553445  
553446  
553447  
553448  
553449  
553450  
553451  
553452  
553453  
553454  
553455  
553456  
553457  
553458  
553459  
553460  
553461  
553462  
553463  
553464  
553465  
553466  
553467  
553468  
553469  
553470  
553471  
553472  
553473  
553474  
553475  
553476  
553477  
553478  
553479  
553480  
553481  
553482  
553483  
553484  
553485  
553486  
553487  
553488  
553489  
553490  
553491  
553492  
553493  
553494  
553495  
553496  
553497  
553498  
553499  
553500  
553501  
553502  
553503  
553504  
553505  
553506  
553507  
553508  
553509  
553510  
553511  
553512  
553513  
553514  
553515  
553516  
553517  
553518  
553519  
553520  
553521  
553522  
553523  
553524  
553525  
553526  
553527  
553528  
553529  
553530  
553531  
553532  
553533  
553534  
553535  
553536  
553537  
553538  
553539  
553540  
553541  
553542  
553543  
553544  
553545  
553546  
553547  
553548  
553549  
553550  
553551  
553552  
553553  
553554  
553555  
553556  
553557  
553558  
553559  
553560  
553561  
553562  
553563  
553564  
553565  
553566  
553567  
553568  
553569  
553570  
553571  
553572  
553573  
553574  
553575  
553576  
553577  
553578  
553579  
553580  
553581  
553582  
553583  
553584  
553585  
553586  
553587  
553588  
553589  
553590  
553591  
553592  
553593  
553594  
553595  
553596  
553597  
553598  
553599  
553600  
553601  
553602  
553603  
553604  
553605  
553606  
553607  
553608  
553609  
553610  
553611  
553612  
553613  
553614  
553615  
553616  
553617  
553618  
553619  
553620  
553621  
553622  
553623  
553624  
553625  
553626  
553627  
553628  
553629  
553630  
553631  
553632  
553633  
553634  
553635  
553636  
553637  
553638  
553639  
553640  
553641  
553642  
553643  
553644  
553645  
553646  
553647  
553648  
553649  
553650  
553651  
553652  
553653  
553654  
553655  
553656  
553657  
553658  
553659  
553660  
553661  
553662  
553663  
553664  
553665  
553666  
553667  
553668  
553669  
553670  
553671  
553672  
553673  
553674  
553675  
553676  
553677  
553678  
553679  
553680  
553681  
553682  
553683  
553684  
553685  
553686  
553687  
553688  
553689  
553690  
553691  
553692  
553693  
553694  
553695  
553696  
553697  
553698  
553699  
553700  
553701  
553702  
553703  
553704  
553705  
553706  
553707  
553708  
553709  
553710  
553711  
553712  
553713  
553714  
553715  
553716  
553717  
553718  
553719  
553720  
553721  
553722  
553723  
553724  
553725  
553726  
553727  
553728  
553729  
553730  
553731  
553732  
553733  
553734  
553735  
553736  
553737  
553738  
553739  
5537340  
5537341  
5537342  
5537343  
5537344  
5537345  
5537346  
5537347  
5537348  
5537349  
5537350  
5537351  
5537352  
5537353  
5537354  
5537355  
5537356  
5537357  
5537358  
5537359  
55373510  
55373511  
55373512  
55373513  
55373514  
55373515  
55373516  
55373517  
55373518  
55373519  
55373520  
55373521  
55373522  
55373523  
55373524  
55373525  
55373526  
55373527  
55373528  
55373529  
55373530  
55373531  
55373532  
55373533  
55373534  
55373535  
55373536  
55373537  
55373538  
55373539  
55373540  
55373541  
55373542  
55373543  
55373544  
55373545  
55373546  
55373547  
55373548  
55373549  
55373550  
55373551  
55373552  
55373553  
55373554  
55373555  
55373556  
55373557  
55373558  
55373559  
55373560  
55373561  
55373562  
55373563  
55373564  
55373565  
55373566  
55373567  
55373568  
55373569  
55373570  
55373571  
55373572  
55373573  
55373574  
55373575  
55373576  
55373577  
55373578  
55373579  
55373580  
55373581  
55373582  
55373583  
55373584  
55373585  
55373586  
55373587  
55373588  
55373589  
55373590  
55373591  
55373592  
55373593  
55373594  
55373595  
55373596  
55373597  
55373598  
55373599  
553735100  
553735101  
553735102  
553735103  
553735104  
553735105  
553735106  
553735107  
553735108  
553735109  
553735110  
553735111  
553735112  
553735113  
553735114  
553735115  
553735116  
553735117  
553735118  
553735119  
553735120  
553735121  
553735122  
553735123  
553735124  
553735125  
553735126  
553735127  
553735128  
553735129  
553735130  
553735131  
553735132  
553735133  
553735134  
553735135  
553735136  
553735137  
553735138  
553735139  
553735140  
553735141  
553735142  
553735143  
553735144  
553735145  
553735146  
553735147  
553735148  
553735149  
553735150  
553735151  
553735152  
553735153  
553735154  
553735155  
553735156  
553735157  
553735158  
553735159  
553735160  
553735161  
553735162  
553735163  
553735164  
553735165  
553735166  
553735167  
553735168  
553735169  
553735170  
553735171  
553735172  
553735173  
553735174  
553735175  
553735176  
553735177  
553735178  
553735179  
553735180  
553735181  
553735182  
553735183  
553735184  
553735185  
553735186  
553735187  
553735188  
553735189  
553735190  
553735191  
553735192  
553735193  
553735194  
553735195  
553735196  
553735197  
553735198  
553735199  
5537

1	II. By Permanently Imposing Serious Restrictions on Plaintiffs' Rights To Exclude and To	
2	Collect Rental Debt, Proclamation 21-09 Continues To Violate Plaintiffs'	
3	Constitutional Rights .....	3
4	III. <i>Cedar Point Nursery</i> Supports the Plaintiffs' Claim That the Eviction Bans Cause a	
5	Categorical Taking of Plaintiffs' Property.....	6
6	CONCLUSION.....	10
7	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....	11

## 7 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

8 **Page(s)**

### 9 Cases

10	<i>Allied Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus</i> , 438 U.S. 234 (1978).....	5
11	<i>Armstrong v. United States</i> , 364 U.S. 40 (1960) .....	9
12	<i>Bd. of Trustees of Glazing Health and Welfare Trust v. Chambers</i> , 941 F.3d 1195 (9th Cir. 2019) .....	3
13	<i>Cedar Point Nursery v. Hassid</i> , 141 S. Ct. 2063 (June 23, 2021).....	1, 6, 7, 8, 9
14	<i>City of Rapid City v. Boland</i> , 271 N.W.2d 60 (S.D. 1978).....	9
15	<i>Commw. v. Berrigan</i> , 509 Pa. 118 (Pa. 1985).....	8
16	<i>Cty. of Butler v. Wolf</i> , 486 F. Supp. 3d 883 (W.D. Pa. 2020).....	6
17	<i>Fordyce v. City of Seattle</i> , 55 F.3d 436 (9th Cir. 1995) .....	2
18	<i>Handler v. United States</i> , 952 F.2d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 1991).....	7
19	<i>Koontz v. St. Johns River Water Mgmt. Dist.</i> , 570 U.S. 595 (2013).....	5
20	<i>Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corporation</i> , 458 U.S. 419 (1982).....	6
21	<i>Northeastern Fla. Chapter of Ass'n Gen. Contractors of America v. City of</i> <i>Jacksonville, Fla.</i> , 508 U.S. 656 (1993) .....	2, 3
22	<i>Penn Central Transportation Company v. City of New York</i> , 438 U.S. 104 (1978).....	6, 7
23	<i>Rossi v. DelDuca</i> , 181 N.E.2d 591 (Mass. 1962) .....	8

1	<i>Surocco v. Geary</i> , 3 Cal. 69 (Cal. 1853).....	8
2	<i>United States v. Caltex</i> , 344 U.S. 149 (1952).....	8
3	<i>Uzuegbunam v. Preczewski</i> , 141 S. Ct. 792 (Mar. 8, 2021) .....	2

4 **Statutes**

5	RCW 7.75 (Dispute Resolution Centers).....	4
---	--	---

6 **Other Authorities**

7	King County Rental Assistance, Landlord Terms and Conditions, <i>available at</i> <a href="https://eprap-prod.powerappspartals.us/vendor/">https://eprap-prod.powerappspartals.us/vendor/</a> .....	4
---	--	---

8	Restatement (Second) of Torts § 196 (1964).....	8, 9
---	---	------

9		
---	--	--

10		
----	--	--

11		
----	--	--

12		
----	--	--

13		
----	--	--

14		
----	--	--

15		
----	--	--

16		
----	--	--

17		
----	--	--

18		
----	--	--

19		
----	--	--

20		
----	--	--

21		
----	--	--

22		
----	--	--

23		
----	--	--

24		
----	--	--

25		
----	--	--

26		
----	--	--

27		
----	--	--

28		
----	--	--

## INTRODUCTION

This Court has called for supplemental briefing regarding Emergency Proclamation 21-09 as well as the effect of the recent Supreme Court decision, *Cedar Point Nursery v. Hassid*, 141 S. Ct. 2063 (June 23, 2021), on Plaintiffs' takings claims.

Proclamation 21-09 does not affect the justiciability of Plaintiffs' claims for two reasons: (1) Plaintiffs' request for nominal damages preserves their claims against the prior proclamations; and (2) Proclamation 21-09 imposes a substantially similar burden to the prior proclamations. Proclamation 21-09 continues to violate Plaintiffs' constitutional rights by placing undue restrictions on Plaintiffs' property and contract rights. Importantly, unlike any proclamation before it, Proclamation 21-09 has no end date and imposes heavy restrictions on eviction for non-payment not only for rent debt that accrued during the pandemic, but any future rent owed, permanently.

Additionally, *Cedar Point Nursery*, 141 S. Ct. 2063, confirms that the State and City's respective eviction bans cause a categorical physical taking. In *Cedar Point*, the Supreme Court affirmed that abrogating a property owner's fundamental right to exclude—even temporarily—triggers an obligation to compensate the property owner.

## PROCLAMATION 21-09

On June 29, 2021, Governor Inslee adopted Proclamation 21-09. *See* Second Declaration of Ethan W. Blevins in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (Blevins Decl.), Exhibit 24. Among other things, Proclamation 21-09 establishes new conditions on landlord efforts to evict or collect unpaid rent. Namely, a landlord cannot evict a tenant or collect on rental debt based "in whole or in part" on rental debt that accrued from February 29, 2020, through July 31, 2021, unless three conditions are met. *See id.*, Exh. 24 at 4–5. First, the county must have in place a rental assistance program and an eviction resolution pilot program in compliance with state law. *Id.* Second, the landlord must offer the tenant an opportunity to participate in these programs. *Id.* Third, a landlord cannot evict a tenant for rental debt that accrued after February 29, 2020, unless the landlord offers a reasonable payment plan to the tenant, which cannot exceed monthly payments equal to a third of the monthly rent due during the period the rent was originally due.

1 *See id.*, Exh. 24 at 5. A landlord's right to evict for any future non-payment of rent is likewise  
 2 restricted, prohibiting eviction if a tenant has provided partial payment or if a rental assistance  
 3 program "anticipat[es]" future funding or *might* take applications in the future. *Id.* Proclamation  
 4 21-09 does not have an end date.

## 5 ARGUMENT

### 6 I. Proclamation 21-09 Does Not Affect the Justiciability of Plaintiffs' Claims

7 Plaintiffs' challenge to Governor Inslee's earlier proclamation and later extensions barring  
 8 eviction still warrants relief because the Plaintiffs' complaint requests nominal damages. As  
 9 discussed in prior briefing, *see* Dkt. #111 at 5, 7–8, nominal damages will preserve a claim against  
 10 a challenged law that has expired. In *Uzuegbunam v. Preczewski*, 141 S. Ct. 792, 802 (Mar. 8,  
 11 2021), the Supreme Court held that "a request for nominal damages satisfies the redressability  
 12 element of standing where a plaintiff's claim is based on a completed violation of a legal right."  
 13 Hence, a plaintiff can still obtain declaratory relief and nominal damages even after a challenged  
 14 law that caused concrete injury is no longer in place. *Id.*

15 Here, Plaintiffs requested nominal damages in their complaint, and the State waived any  
 16 sovereign immunity defense to that request. *See* Dkt. #111 at 7–8; Dkt. #121 at 3–4 (declining to  
 17 refute Plaintiffs' argument that sovereign immunity as to nominal damages has been waived). *See*  
 18 *also Fordyce v. City of Seattle*, 55 F.3d 436, 441 (9th Cir. 1995) (the state "waive[s] [its] Eleventh  
 19 Amendment protection by voluntarily appearing and defending on the merits"). Hence, even if the  
 20 Governor had ended the eviction moratorium altogether, Plaintiffs' challenge to the earlier  
 21 proclamations would remain justiciable.

22 Moreover, Plaintiffs need not amend their complaint to bring new claims challenging  
 23 Proclamation 21-09 because the proclamation harms them in a similar manner to the proclamation  
 24 and extensions that preceded it. A claim does not become moot where the government entity has  
 25 replaced a challenged law with a similar enactment. *See Northeastern Fla. Chapter of Ass'n Gen.*  
 26 *Contractors of America v. City of Jacksonville, Fla.*, 508 U.S. 656, 662 (1993). So long as the  
 27 enactment harms the Plaintiffs "in the same fundamental way," then the original claim remains

alive, even if the new enactment “may disadvantage them to a lesser degree than the old one.” *Id.*; *see also Bd. of Trustees of Glazing Health and Welfare Trust v. Chambers*, 941 F.3d 1195, 1198 (9th Cir. 2019) (recognizing that a legal challenge to a law is not mooted following repeal if the government “already has reenacted the challenged law or one substantially similar”). For the reasons discussed below, Proclamation 21-09 continues to harm Plaintiffs in the same fundamental way.

**II. By Permanently Imposing Serious Restrictions on Plaintiffs’ Rights To Exclude and To Collect Rental Debt, Proclamation 21-09 Continues To Violate Plaintiffs’ Constitutional Rights**

Proclamation 21-09 imposes a serious burden on a landlord’s rights to collect accrued rental debt and evict tenants occupying landlords’ properties for free. Moreover, like the proclamation and extensions before it, Proclamation 21-09 bars landlords from ever recovering late fees or other charges such as interest that has accrued due to late or unpaid rent. Blevins Decl., Exh. 24 at 5.

The eviction and debt repayment process established by Proclamation 21-09 erects a procedural labyrinth that depends on third-party actions and complicated conditions that continue to impose a substantial impairment on landlords’ contractual obligations. First, a landlord’s right to evict for non-payment of rent hinges on a local eviction resolution pilot program and local rental assistance program filing an “attestation to program implementation” with the Department of Commerce. Blevins Decl., Exh. 24 at 4. Since Proclamation 21-09 does not obligate these programs to provide such attestation, the programs can effectively hold a landlord’s rights hostage by declining to follow this procedural step. To Plaintiffs’ knowledge, neither the King County eviction resolution pilot program nor the rental assistance program have submitted this attestation, and therefore Proclamation 21-09 effectively bars any eviction actions for non-payment in King County until such attestation occurs.

Even if the local programs have satisfied this step, a landlord’s rights to evict or collect rental debt remains burdened by the significant procedural hurdles erected by Proclamation 21-09. Before seeking to evict or collect rental debt, landlords must offer to participate in eviction

1 resolution and rental assistance programs with non-paying tenants. *Id.*, Exh. 24 at 4–5. In King  
 2 County, this means that landlords, before availing themselves of a statutory and contractual right,  
 3 must “meet and confer with the local DRC [Dispute Resolution Center]<sup>1</sup> and the tenant and  
 4 tenant’s attorney to facilitate the resolution of the nonpayment of rent,” *see id.*, Exh. 25 at 4, even  
 5 where—as here—such negotiations are likely to be fruitless or impossible, given many landlords’  
 6 repeated efforts throughout the pandemic to come to an agreement with tenants who refuse to  
 7 respond. *See e.g.*, Dkt. #97 ¶ 6. The landlord must then file a certification form issued by the DRC  
 8 certifying that the parties had met and conferred as required before pursuing eviction. *See* Blevins  
 9 Decl., Exh. 25 at 4. Since the DRC is a non-government actor with no legal obligation to issue  
 10 such certification, the requirement to participate in the resolution program places a landlords’ right  
 11 to evict at the mercy of a third party.

12 Plaintiffs must, moreover, offer to participate in King County’s rental assistance program  
 13 before exercising the right to evict under Proclamation 21-09. *See id.*, Exh. 24 at 4. This effectively  
 14 prohibits landlords from terminating or declining to renew a tenancy until December 31, 2021,  
 15 which landlords must agree to as a term of the King County Eviction Prevention and Rental  
 16 Assistance Program. *See* King County Rental Assistance, Landlord Terms and Conditions,  
 17 *available at* <https://eprap-prod.powerappspartals.us/vendor/>. Landlords cannot decline this term  
 18 because of Proclamation 21-09, which compels participation in King County’s program. *See*  
 19 Blevins Decl., Exh. 24 at 4.

20 Importantly, these restrictions apply even where the rationale for evicting a tenant is “in  
 21 part” due to accrued rental debt during the pandemic. *Id.* Hence, a landlord who has decided to sell  
 22 a property in part because their tenant has not paid rent in months cannot evict without wading  
 23 into the procedural morass imposed by Proclamation 21-09. In this sense, Proclamation 21-09 is  
 24 more burdensome than the proclamation and extensions that preceded it, which allowed landlords  
 25 to evict a tenant for the purpose of selling the property or self-occupancy. Compare *id.* with Dkt.

26  
 27 <sup>1</sup> DRCs are nonprofits established under state law to facilitate the informal resolution of disputes.  
 28 *See* RCW 7.75.

1 #94, Exh. 21 at 5. That is no longer the case if the sale or self-occupancy is motivated “in part” by  
 2 a tenant’s failure to pay rent.

3 Proclamation 21-09 additionally requires landlords to offer a “reasonable” payment plan  
 4 as defined by the Proclamation before exercising the right to evict. *See Blevins Decl.*, Exh. 24 at  
 5 6. Such a payment plan cannot “exceed monthly payments equal to one-third of the monthly rental  
 6 charges during the period of accrued debt.” *Id.* Forcing landlords to acquiesce to this restriction on  
 7 their contractual rights to collect rental debt as a condition to exercising their right to evict imposes  
 8 a serious constitutional injury. *See Koontz v. St. Johns River Water Mgmt. Dist.*, 570 U.S. 595, 606  
 9 (2013) (“[T]he unconstitutional conditions doctrine forbids burdening the Constitution’s  
 10 enumerated rights by coercively withholding benefits from those who exercise them.”).

11 Most notably, Proclamation 21-09 has no end date. This differs markedly from the prior  
 12 proclamation, which the State has argued did not impose constitutional injury because it was  
 13 temporary. That is no longer true. Moreover, Proclamation 21-09 extends its control over evictions  
 14 based on non-payment for the indefinite future, “August 1, 2021, or thereafter.” *Blevins Decl.*,  
 15 Exh. 24 at 5.<sup>2</sup> Until Proclamation 21-09 is affirmatively rescinded, landlords cannot evict for any  
 16 non-payment, whether during the pandemic or any time in the future, if the tenant has made full  
 17 or partial rent based on individual circumstances, if an application for rental assistance is pending,  
 18 or even if a dormant rental assistance program is “anticipating” additional funds at some point in  
 19 the uncertain future or “is not yet accepting new applications for assistance” but might at some  
 20 point. *Id.* Proclamation 21-09 thus places ongoing executive control over the eviction process  
 21 throughout Washington. The State can no longer shrug off landlords’ injuries as temporary or  
 22 explain the Governor’s actions as a narrow and time-limited response to the pandemic. *See Allied*  
 23 *Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus*, 438 U.S. 234, 242 (1978) (to satisfy the Contract Clause’s  
 24 reasonableness standard, an emergency measure must be “appropriately tailored to the emergency

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
 27 <sup>2</sup> The Section titled “FUTURE RENT OWED” is followed by “(August 1, 2021, through  
 28 September 30, 2021)” but the language in the section itself repeatedly says “August 1, 2021, or  
 thereafter” and “after August 1, 2021,” expressly establishing an endless timeline for regulation of  
 eviction for any future rent owed. *Id.*

1 that it was designed to meet" and the legislation should be "limited to the duration of the  
 2 emergency"). As a federal district court reasoned back in September 2020: "There is no question  
 3 . . . that courts may provide state and local officials greater deference when making time-sensitive  
 4 decisions in the maelstrom of an emergency. But that deference cannot go on forever." *Cty. of*  
 5 *Butler v. Wolf*, 486 F. Supp. 3d 883, 900–01 (W.D. Pa. 2020).

6 In short, Proclamation 21-09's labyrinthine control over landlord-tenant relations results in  
 7 a de facto bar to eviction in most circumstances and, unlike any prior proclamation before it, is not  
 8 limited in duration or to the context of the pandemic. Proclamation 21-09 therefore violates the  
 9 Contract Clause and Takings Clause of the United States Constitution.

10 **III. *Cedar Point Nursery* Supports the Plaintiffs' Claim That the Eviction Bans Cause a**  
 11 **Categorical Taking of Plaintiffs' Property**

12 As alleged in the Plaintiffs' prior briefing, the City of Seattle's and State of Washington's  
 13 respective bans prohibiting landlords from evicting their tenants constitute a *per se* physical  
 14 occupation by requiring Plaintiffs to house tenants indefinitely without payment of rent.

15 In June, the United States Supreme Court in *Cedar Point Nursery v. Hassid* evaluated  
 16 whether a California statute requiring a property owner to grant physical access to union organizers  
 17 for three hours per day, 120 days per year, affected a taking. 141 S. Ct. 2063. The Court concluded  
 18 that even a temporary physical occupation, no matter how small or intermittent, was a *per se*  
 19 categorical taking, requiring just compensation. *Id.* at 2074 ("The regulation appropriates a right  
 20 to physically invade the growers' property . . . . It is therefore, a *per se* physical taking under our  
 21 precedents.").

22 In so holding, the Court rejected the Ninth Circuit's reliance on the ad hoc balancing test  
 23 for regulatory takings in *Penn Central Transportation Company v. City of New York*, 438 U.S.  
 24 104, 124 (1978), instead of the categorical physical invasion analysis in *Loretto v. Teleprompter*  
 25 *Manhattan CATV Corporation*, 458 U.S. 419, 435–41 (1982). *Cedar Point Nursery*, 141 S. Ct. at  
 26 2072 ("The essential question is not, as the Ninth Circuit seemed to think, whether the government  
 27 action at issue comes garbed as a regulation (or statute, or ordinance, or miscellaneous decree). It

1 is when the government has physically taken property for itself or someone else—by whatever  
 2 means—or has instead restricted a property owner’s ability to use his own property. Whenever a  
 3 regulation results in a physical appropriation of property, a *per se* taking has occurred, and *Penn*  
 4 *Central* has no place.”) (cleaned up). The Court reasoned that the union access regulations was a  
 5 *per se* physical taking because it appropriated property for the use and enjoyment of a third party,  
 6 decimating the property owner’s fundamental right to exclude. *Id.* at 2072–73.

7 Additionally, the fact that the invasion did not run “around the clock” did not take it outside  
 8 the *per se* takings rule. *Id.* at 2075. Instead, the Court acknowledged that the duration of the  
 9 invasion affected only the amount of compensation owed, not whether a categorical taking  
 10 occurred. *Id.* at 2074–75. The Court also found no difference between intermittent occupation and  
 11 continuous occupation. *Id.* Consequently, *per se* takings exist even if the physical occupation is  
 12 only temporary.

13 *Cedar Point Nursery* confirms that Plaintiffs’ takings claim should prevail. The City and  
 14 State’s respective eviction bans force the Plaintiffs to endure their tenants’ continuous physical  
 15 occupation by eliminating their ability to evict their tenants in all but a few exceptional  
 16 circumstances, which causes a *per se* taking under the Supreme Court’s reasoning. *Id.*  
 17 Additionally, contrary to the City and State’s consistent position that their eviction bans are only  
 18 temporary in nature, the Supreme Court again made it clear that a temporary physical occupation  
 19 is a compensable *per se* taking. *Id.*

20 The few exceptions to this rule articulated by the Court, *see id.* at 2078–80, do not apply  
 21 to the eviction bans. First, the Court indicated that mere trespass would not trigger *per se* takings  
 22 liability. *Id.* at 2078 (“Isolated physical invasions, not undertaken pursuant to a granted right of  
 23 access, are properly assessed as individual torts rather than appropriations of a property right.”).  
 24 *See also Helder v. United States*, 952 F.2d 1364, 1377 (Fed. Cir. 1991) (identifying a “truck  
 25 driver parking on someone’s vacant land to eat lunch” as an example of a mere trespass). Second,  
 26 the Court indicated that physical invasions are less likely to constitute a taking when those  
 27 restrictions are consistent with background principles of property law. *Cedar Point Nursery*, 141

1 S. Ct. at 2079. For example, the abatement of a nuisance or the government's ability to occupy or  
 2 destroy property for public or private necessity. *Id.* Notably, the necessity exception is limited to  
 3 instances of immediate peril or momentary invasions. *Id.* Finally, the Court stated that physical  
 4 invasions were less likely to cause a taking where the government requires access as a condition  
 5 of receiving governmental benefits. *Id.* at 2079.

6 The Defendants cannot argue that this is a case of fleeting trespass or a condition imposed  
 7 for a government benefit, so only the "background principles" exception warrants attention. Even  
 8 this exception, however, does not save the eviction bans from takings liability.

9 Specifically, the ability to enter or destroy property "in the event of public or private  
 10 necessity," 141 S. Ct. at 2079, one of the background principles discussed in *Cedar Point Nursery*,  
 11 does not apply to the eviction bans. *Id.* at 2079. Courts have defined necessity as essential actions  
 12 required "to protect against or repel a public enemy, or to prevent or mitigate the effects of an  
 13 impending public disaster such as a conflagration, flood, earthquake, or pestilence." Restatement  
 14 (Second) of Torts § 196 (1964).

15 These are typically situations far afield from the eviction bans here. For example, such a  
 16 necessity may arise where the invasion is isolated and brief, such as a right to use another's  
 17 property to escape an imminent disaster, *see e.g.*, *Rossi v. DelDuca*, 181 N.E.2d 591, 593 (Mass.  
 18 1962) (child entering another's property to escape dog attack). Another common example arises  
 19 where the property destroyed or seized would have been rendered unusable anyway. *See, e.g.*,  
 20 *United States v. Caltex*, 344 U.S. 149 (1952) (holding that a taking had not occurred where the  
 21 army destroyed a factory to prevent it from falling into enemy hands); *see Surocco v. Geary*, 3 Cal.  
 22 69 (Cal. 1853) (approving the destruction of a home to stop a conflagration from destroying  
 23 surrounding structures where the home was likely to be consumed anyway). In general,  
 24 circumstances subject to this background principle involve a split-second decision without time  
 25 for deliberation in order to escape an immediate disaster. *See Commw. v. Berrigan*, 509 Pa. 118,  
 26 123 (Pa. 1985) ("As codified by the Restatement of Torts Second, the law will excuse a trespass  
 27 onto the land of another, 'if it is, or if the actor reasonably believes it to be necessary for the

1 purpose of averting an *imminent* public disaster' (Section 196). Similarly, trespass to the chattel  
 2 of another or its conversion is excusable 'if the act is or is reasonably believed to be necessary for  
 3 the purpose of avoiding a public disaster' (Section 262). . . . The threatened disaster (manmade or  
 4 act of God) must be real and *immediate*, not imagined or speculative, and must threaten not only  
 5 the actor but others as well. The actions to avoid the public disaster must support a *reasonable*  
 6 *belief* or inference that the actions would be effective in avoiding or alleviating the impending  
 7 harm.") (emphasis added); *City of Rapid City v. Boland*, 271 N.W.2d 60, 65 (S.D. 1978) ("The  
 8 public necessity privilege is an extension of every individual's privilege to take whatever steps  
 9 appear reasonable to prevent an imminent public disaster. . . . To fall within the privilege, there  
 10 must be a '(a) necessity, extreme, imperative, or overwhelming, (to) constitute such a justification,  
 11 but mere expediency, or public good, or utility, will not answer.'") (internal citation omitted).

12 Here, the City and State's eviction bans were not split-second decisions for "avoiding or  
 13 alleviating" an immediate harm from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Id.* Both eviction bans were part  
 14 of a deliberative process and have now been in effect for almost a year and a half, forcing landlords  
 15 to house tenants at their own expense and far outlasting the immediate threat of the COVID-19  
 16 pandemic. They are not isolated and brief invasions, nor are they actions taken where property  
 17 would have been seized or destroyed anyway. The bans are broadly written to forbid almost all  
 18 evictions, including eviction of tenants who have been unaffected by COVID-19 and have the  
 19 means to pay. The supposed public good of alleviating the burden of the COVID-19 pandemic  
 20 does not satisfy the requirements of the necessity exception discussed in *Cedar Point*. *City of Rapid*  
 21 *City*, 271 N.W.2d at 66 ("Once the impending disaster has passed, the government may not rely  
 22 upon the doctrine of necessity to justify the subsequent destruction of property."). Consequently,  
 23 the bans exceed this narrow background principle and instead force landlords to bear the burden  
 24 of the COVID-19 pandemic, which in all fairness and justice should be borne by the public as a  
 25 whole. *Armstrong v. United States*, 364 U.S. 40, 49 (1960) ("The Fifth Amendment's guarantee  
 26 that private property shall not be taken for a public use without just compensation was designed to  
 27  
 28

1 bar Government from forcing some people alone to bear public burdens which, in all fairness and  
2 justice, should be borne by the public as a whole.”).

3 **CONCLUSION**

4 As the pandemic wanes, the Governor has seen fit to adopt a permanent restriction on  
5 landlords’ contract and property rights going forward, far surpassing any reasonable justification  
6 as a limited measure related to the COVID-19 pandemic. Moreover, the Supreme Court has  
7 recently clarified its takings jurisprudence in a manner that makes one thing clear: temporary  
8 invasions of private property, like eviction bans here, are a *per se* taking under the Fifth  
9 Amendment.

10 DATED: August 2, 2021.

11 Respectfully submitted:

13 s/ ETHAN W. BLEVINS

14 s/ BRIAN T. HODGES

Ethan W. Blevins, WSBA # 48219  
15 Brian T. Hodges, WSBA # 31976  
Pacific Legal Foundation  
16 255 South King Street, Suite 800  
Seattle, Washington 98104  
17 Telephone: (425) 576-0484  
Email: EBlevins@pacificlegal.org  
Email: BHodges@pacificlegal.org

18 s/ KATHRYN D. VALOIS

Kathryn D. Valois\*\*  
19 Fla. Bar. No. 1010150  
Pacific Legal Foundation  
4440 PGA Blvd., Suite 307  
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410  
Telephone: (561) 691-5000  
Email: KValois@pacificlegal.org

20 \*\* *Pro hac vice*

21 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 2, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

s/ ETHAN W. BLEVINS  
Ethan W. Blevins, WSBA # 48219

*Attorney for Plaintiffs*